Caslon Doric Condensed Text

Designed for smaller sizes, Caslon Doric Condensed Text, is a face not just for continuous reading matter, but also as a wider alternative to the original Caslon Doric Condensed. Originally created for global cycling clothing brand, Rapha, its seven weights offer designers a wide palette of weights from thin to extrabold. Apart from its width, it modifies several other characteristics to improve legibility at smaller sizes. The inward turning of end strokes on such characters as the a s t & y have been reduced, and the angle of the italic is less steep. Caslon Doric Condensed Text expands the Caslon Doric collection to five widths, and 78 individual members, making it a wide ranging family for multiple applications.
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WHILE THE WRITERS WHO GATHERED AROUND SCHLEGEL WERE INCLINED
The team competed for Canada’s Stanley Cup five times between 1903 and 1907
UNICI ELEMENTI STILISTICI A RESISTERE AL FURORE DELL’ORO, E A RIMANERE
Street Food Cinema presented a series of movies & festivities among the Victorian

FILM AZ EGYIK LEGKORÁBBI, LEGNAGYOBB HATÁSÚ ÉS LEGELISMERTEBB
Modern art critics and historians have justly praised it as the most revelatory
DELIVERED SCATHING CRITIQUES OF CONTEMPORARY WESTERN CULTURE
He is notable for arguing that realism is the most important function of cinema

IN 2005, THE FESTIVAL WAS EXPANDED TO A EUROPÄISCHES THEATER
Son intérêt pour le marxisme le conduit à rejeter la plupart des aspects du
AN APPROACH FROM NASDAQ VALUING THE COMPANY AT $3.64 BILLION
Strauss’s compositional output began in 1870 when he was just six years old

15 CZECH-BUILT STREETCARS BEGAN ARRIVING IN SEPTEMBER 2007
In the early 15th century, members of the House of Luxembourg reigned
AFTER A DEVASTATING FIRE IN 1869, THE OPERA HOUSE WAS REBUILT
Frankfurter Jahre waren für Kracauers Entwicklung in mehrfacher Hinsicht

THE OFFICIAL DU PAGE COUNTY COURTHOUSE WAS BUILT IN 1889
In the late 1780s the Spanish Empire commissioned an expedition to
VRIJEME UMJETNIČKE OBNOVE I UMJETNIČKOG BUNTA TE RAĐANJE
The settlements listed in Domesday grew from an ancient village close

BLEV ETABLERET I 1811, OG ER EN AF VERDENS STØRSTE BØRSER
The seat of the parliament assembled by King Charles I during the
THE BOARD RELEASED $1.27 MILLION IN STATE & FEDERAL FUNDS
Locke made three separate voyages from Britain to North America

BRICK & STONE MASONRY WITH TIMBERS ON UPPER FLOORS
Vast improvement to all the waterborne infrastructure of the
THE CESTUI QUE USE & TRUST WERE ROOTED IN MEDIEVAL LAW
Yhtiöllä on 185 toimistoa 43 maassa ja 14 huutokauppakamaria
THE SPANISH WAR, which began in 1739, and the French war which soon followed it occasioned further increase of the debt, which, on the 31st of December 1748, after it had been concluded by the Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, amounted to £78,293,313. The most profound peace of the seventeen years of continuance had taken no more than £8,328,354. from it. A war of less than nine years’ continuance added £31,338,689 to it (Refer to James Postlethwaite’s History of the Public Revenue). During the administration of Mr. Pelham, the interest of the public debt was reduced from 4% to 3%; or at least measures were taken for reducing it, from four to three per cent; the sinking fund was increased, and some part of the public debt was paid off. In 1755, before the breaking out of the late war, the funded debt of Great Britain amounted to £72,289,673. On the 5th of January 1763, at the conclusion of the peace, the funded debt amounted to £122,603,336. The unfunded debt has been stated at £13,927,589. But the expense occasioned by the war did not end with the conclusion of the peace, so that though, on the 5th of January 1764, the funded debt was increased (partly by a new loan, and partly by funding a part of the unfunded debt) to £129,586,782, there still remained (according to the very well informed author of Considerations on the Trade and Finances of Great Britain) an unfunded debt which was brought to account in that and the following year of £975,017. In 1764, therefore, the public debt of Great Britain, funded and unfunded together, amounted, according to this author, to £139,516,807. The annui-
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Every introduction to the problems of aesthetics begins by acknowledging the existence and claims of two methods of attack—the general, philosophical, deductive, which starts from a complete metaphysics and installs beauty in its place among the other great concepts; and the empirical, or inductive, which seeks to disengage a general principle of beauty from the objects of aesthetic experience and the facts of aesthetic enjoyment: an example of Fechner’s “aesthetics from above and from below.”

Methodologies of Aesthetics
The first was the method of aesthetics par excellence. It was indeed only through the desire of an eighteenth-century philosopher, Baumgarten, to round out his “architectonic” of metaphysics that the science received its name, as designating the theory of knowledge in the form of feeling, parallel to that of “clear,” logical thought. Kant, Schelling, and Hegel, again, made use of the concept of the Beautiful as a kind of keystone or cornice for their respective philosophical edifices. Aesthetics, then, came into being as the philosophy of the Beautiful, and it may be asked why this philosophical aesthetics does not suffice; why beauty should need for its understanding also an aesthetics “von unten.”

The State of Criticism
The answer is not that no system of philosophy is universally accepted, but that the general aesthetic theories have not, as yet at least, succeeded in answering the plain questions of “the plain man” in regard to concrete beauty. Kant, indeed, frankly denied that the explanation of concrete beauty, or “Doctrine of Taste,” as he called it, was possible, while the various definers of beauty as “the union of the Real and the Ideal” “the expression of the Ideal to Sense,” have done no more than he. No one of these aesthetic systems, in spite of volumes of so-called application of their principles to works of art, has been able
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The answer is not that no system of philosophy is universally accepted, but that the general aesthetic theories have not, as yet at least, succeeded in answering the plain questions of “the plain man” in regard to concrete beauty. Kant, indeed, frankly denied that the explanation of concrete beauty, or “Doctrine of Taste,” as he called it, was possible, while the various definers of beauty as “the union of the Real and the Ideal” “the expression of the Ideal to Sense,” have done no more than he. No one of these aesthetic systems, in spite of volumes
The Spanish War, which began in 1739, and the French war which soon followed it occasioned further increase of the debt, which, on the 31st of December 1748, after it had been concluded by the Treaty of Aix-la-Chapelle, amounted to $78,293,313 and 50¢. The most just and profound peace of the seventeen years of continuance had taken no more than $8,328,354 from it. A war of less than nine years’ continuance added $31,338,689 to it (Refer to James Postlethwaite’s History of the Public Revenue).

Mr. Pelham’s Quest for Rate Reduction
During the latter half of the administration of Mr. Pelham, the interest of this public debt was reduced from 4 3/4 to 3 1/2 per cent; or at least measures were taken for reducing it; the sinking fund was increased by 2 1/8 per cent, and 1 1/3 per cent of the public debt was paid off. In 1755, before the breaking out of the late war, the funded debt of Great Britain amounted to $72,289,673. On the 5th of January 1763, at the conclusion of the peace, the funded debt amounted to $122,603,336. The unfunded debt has been stated at $13,927,589. But the expense occasioned by the war did not end with the conclusion of the peace, so that though, on the 5th of January 1764, the funded debt was increased (partly by a new loan, and partly by funding a part of the unfunded debt) to $129,586,782.

Long Term Debt Management
However—according to the very well informed author of Considerations on the Trade and Finances of Great Britain—there still remained an unfunded debt which was brought to account in that and the following year of $975,017. In 1764, therefore, the public debt of Great Britain, funded and unfunded together, amounted, according to this author, to $139,516,807. The annuities for lives, too, had been granted as premiums to the subscribers to the new loans in 1757, estimated at fourteen years’ purchase, were
Every introduction to the problems of aesthetics begins by acknowledging the existence and claims of two methods of attack—the general, philosophical, deductive, which starts from a complete metaphysics and installs beauty in its place among the other great concepts; and the empirical, or inductive, which seeks to disengage a general principle of beauty from the objects of aesthetic experience and the facts of aesthetic enjoyment: an example of Fechner’s “aesthetics from above and from below.”
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The answer is not that no system of philosophy is universally accepted, but that the general aesthetic theories have not, as yet at least, succeeded in answering the plain questions of “the plain man” in regard to concrete beauty. Kant, indeed, frankly denied that the explanation of concrete beauty, or “Doctrine of Taste,” as he called it, was possible, while the various definers of beauty as “the union of the Real and the Ideal” “the expression of the Ideal to Sense,” have done no more than he. No one of these aesthetic systems, in spite of volumes of so-called application of their principles to works of art, has been able to furnish a criterion of beauty. The criticism of the generations is summed up in the mild remark of Fechner, in his “Vorschule der Aesthetik,” to
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Every introduction to the problems of aesthetics begins by acknowledging the existence and claims of two methods of attack—the *general, philosophical, deductive*, which starts from a complete metaphysics and installs beauty in its place among the other great concepts; and the *empirical, or inductive*, which seeks to disengage a general principle of beauty from the objects of aesthetic experience and the facts of aesthetic enjoyment: an example of Fechner’s “aesthetics from above and from below.”

Methodologies of Aesthetics
The first was the method of aesthetics par excellence. It was indeed only through the desire of an eighteenth-century philosopher, Baumgarten, to round out his “architectonic” of metaphysics that the science received its name, as designating the theory of knowledge in the form of feeling, parallel to that of “clear,” logical thought. Kant, Schelling, and Hegel, again, made use of the concept of the Beautiful as a kind of keystone or cornice for their respective philosophical edifices. Aesthetics, then, came into being as the philosophy of the Beautiful, and it may be asked why this philosophical aesthetics does not suffice; why beauty should need for its understanding also an aesthetics “von unten.”

The State of Criticism
The answer is not that no system of philosophy is universally accepted, but that *the general aesthetic theories* have not, as yet at least, succeeded in answering the plain questions of “the plain man” in regard to concrete beauty. Kant, indeed, frankly denied that the explanation of concrete beauty, or “Doctrine of Taste,” as he called it, was possible, while the various definers of beauty as “the union of the Real and the Ideal” “the expression of the Ideal to Sense,” have done no more than he. No one of *these* aesthetic systems, in spite of volumes of so-called application of their principles to works of art, has been able to furnish a criterion of beauty. The criticism of the generations is summed up in the mild remark of Fechner, in his “Vorschule der Aesthetik,” to the effect that the philosophical path leaves one in conceptions that, by reason of their generality, *do not well fit* the particular cases.

An Aesthetic of Empiricism
And so it was that empirical aesthetics arose, which does not seek to answer those plain questions as to the enjoyment of concrete beauty down to its simplest forms, to which philosophical aesthetics had been inadequate. But it is clear that neither has empirical aesthetics said the last word concerning beauty. Criticism is still in a chaotic state that would be impossible if aesthetic theory were firmly grounded. This situation appears to me to be due to the inherent inadequacy and inconclusiveness of empirical aesthetics when it stands alone; the grounds of this inadequacy I shall seek to establish in the following. Granting...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OpenType Features</th>
<th>deactivated</th>
<th>Activated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ALL CAPS</strong></td>
<td>opens up spacing, moves punctuation up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportional Lining</strong></td>
<td>default figures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tabular Lining</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fractions</strong></td>
<td>ignores numeric date format</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Superscript/Superior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subscript/Inferior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denominator</strong></td>
<td>for arbitrary fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
<td>for arbitrary fractions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language Feature</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romanian</td>
<td>Ŋ glyph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>Kreska accent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Character samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Activated</th>
<th>Deactivated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>¿¡Fish &amp; «Chips» @ £4.15!?</td>
<td>¿¡FISH &amp; «CHIPS» @ £4.15!?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter: $3,460 €1,895</td>
<td>Quarter: $3,460 €1,895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual: ¥7,031 £9,215</td>
<td>Annual: ¥7,031 £9,215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/03/10 and 2 1/18 460/920</td>
<td>21/03/10 and 2 1/8 460/920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x(\frac{158}{23} \times \frac{18}{1} - a_{4260})</td>
<td>x(\frac{158}{23} \times z_{18} - a_{4260})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0123456789 0123456789</td>
<td>0123456789 0123456789</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SÍ-LABA novel·la cal·ligrafia</td>
<td>SÍ-LABA novel·la calligrafia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GŁÓWNA możliwość których</td>
<td>GŁÓWNA możliwość których</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CĂȘTIGAT totuși conștiința</td>
<td>CĂȘTIGAT totuși conștiința</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OPENTYPE FEATURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET</th>
<th>DEACTIVATED</th>
<th>ACTIVATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 01</td>
<td>Garrulously Gregory Grants</td>
<td>Garrulously Gregory Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 02</td>
<td>Righteously Risking 2 Rooks</td>
<td>Righteously Risking 2 Rooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 03</td>
<td>Quick Quests of Quizmaster</td>
<td>Quick Quests of Quizmaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 04</td>
<td>Prestigious inaugural guests</td>
<td>Prestigious inaugural guests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 05</td>
<td>Conjecture of junior founder</td>
<td>Conjecture of junior founder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 09</td>
<td>Thirty worried requirements</td>
<td>Thirty worried requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 10</td>
<td>Causing a net 1½ point gain</td>
<td>Causing a net 1½ point gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLISTIC SET 11</td>
<td>Dropping from $2.50 to 50¢</td>
<td>Dropping from $2.50 to 50¢</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paul Barnes (born 1970) is a graphic and type designer, and a partner with Christian Schwartz in Commercial Type, a type foundry based in London and New York. He has also been a long term collaborator with Peter Saville which has resulted in such diverse work as identities for Givenchy, 'Original Modern' for Manchester, the England football team kit and the logo for Kate Moss.

Barnes has also been an advisor and consultant on numerous publications, notably Wallpaper*, Harper’s Bazaar and frieze. His interest in the modern and vernacular is encompassed in his type design ranging from the contemporary such as for Björk, through to the extensive Chiswick typeface (2017). Whilst consultant to The Guardian he designed Guardian Egyptian with Christian Schwartz. He has designed typefaces for the National Trust in England, the numbers for Puma at the 2010 World Cup and also the England football team for Umbro. For Commercial Type he has codesigned Publico with Schwartz, and independently Austin, Dala Floda and Marian.

Following the redesign of The Guardian, as part of the team headed by Mark Porter, Barnes was awarded the Black Pencil from the D&AD. They were also nominated for the Design Museum ‘Designer of the Year’. In September 2006, with Schwartz he was named one of the 40 most influential designers under 40 in Wallpaper*. A year later The Guardian named him as one of the 50 best designers in Britain.